A new method of creating co-ordinative unsaturation: synthesis and reactions of a reactive iridium(I) complex  $[Ir(CO){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu^t)}=$ N-N=C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)CH\_2PPh\_2}]PF<sub>6</sub>: crystal structures of  $[Ir(CO)(\eta^2-L){PPh_2CH_2-C(Bu^t)}=$ C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)=N-N=C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)CH\_2PPh\_2}]PF<sub>6</sub> (L = MeO\_2CC=CCO\_2Me or COCH=CHCONMe)



Sarath D. Perera, Bernard L. Shaw \* and Mark Thornton-Pett School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

Treatment of  $[IrCl(CO)_2(H_2NC_6H_4Me-p)]$  with the azine diphosphine PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> 1 in benzene gave the co-ordinatively saturated (18e) octahedral iridium(III) hydride [IrH(Cl)(CO)(PPh<sub>2</sub>CH=C(Bu')-N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}] 3 in which the P,N,P-terdentate diphosphine ligand had lost a hydrogen to give an ene-hydrazone backbone. On dissolution in methanol or ethanol, complex 3 immediately dissolved to give the isomeric but co-ordinatively unsaturated (16e) iridium(I) complex  $[Ir(CO){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2}]Cl 4a$  in which the P,N,P-terdentate ligand backbone contained an azine moiety. Complex 4a was readily converted to the corresponding PF<sub>6</sub> salt 4b. On dissolution in dichloromethane or benzene 4a rapidly gave back 3. Treatment of 4b with acetylenes or olefins gave adducts  $[Ir(CO){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2}L]PF_6 \text{ in which } L = MeO_2CC\equiv CCO_2Me \text{ 5a}, HC\equiv CCO_2Me \text{ 5b}, HC\equiv CCO_2M$ 5b, MeN(O=C)CH=CHCO 8a, trans-MeO<sub>2</sub>CCH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Me 8b, trans-EtO<sub>2</sub>CCH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Et 8c, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 8d or CH<sub>2</sub>=C=CH<sub>2</sub> 8e.8f. Proton NMR studies on the ethene adduct showed that the ethene ligand was rotating at ca. 20 °C but at -40 °C rotation had stopped. Allene gives a mixture of two isomeric adducts 8e and 8f. Complex 4b reacted with PhC=CH in a different fashion to give the phenylacetylide hydride fac- $[IrH(C=CPh)(CO){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2}]PF_6 6$  which isomerised on heating to mer- $[IrH(C \equiv CPh)(CO)\{PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2\}]PF_6 7. Treatment of$ **4b** $with EtO_2CN=NCO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2CN=NCO_2CN=NCO_2CN=NCO_2EtO_2CN=NCO_2C$ gave an adduct  $[Ir(CO){PPh_2CH=C(Bu^{\dagger})-N-N=C(Bu^{\dagger})CH_2PPh_2}(EtO_2CNHNCO_2Et)]PF_6 9$  in which the diphosphine backbone had been deprotonated and the diethyl azocarboxylate protonated. Treatment of 4b with carbon monoxide gave the dicarbonyliridium complex [Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>{PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')- $CH_2PPh_2$ ]PF<sub>6</sub> 10. Treatment of 4b with dihydrogen gave a dihydridoiridium(III) adduct 11 in which the terdentate P,N,P diphosphine was in the fac arrangement. In solution 11 slowly isomerised over several hours to give a dihydride in which the terdentate P,N,P diphosphine was in the mer arrangement, i.e. the structure of the dihydride was 12. Complex 4b underwent other types of oxidative-addition reactions, *i.e.* with formic acid it gave the hydride  $mer-[IrH(O_2CH)(CO){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2}]PF_6 13$ , with methyl iodide the mer-methyliridium(III) adduct 14 and with bromine the mer-iridium(III) dibromide 15. The crystal structures of 5a and 8a were determined.

Co-ordinative unsaturation is extremely important in transition-metal chemistry and in associated areas of catalysis.<sup>1-4</sup> Transition-metal hydrides are good illustrative examples: a hydride with a vacant co-ordination site can often take up an olefin (or acetylene) and, if this is followed by migration of hydride from metal to carbon giving an alkyl group, then subsequent chemistry can lead to a synthesis which might be catalytic. Examples of this include Wilkinson's catalyst [RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], which on reaction with dihydrogen loses a PPh<sub>3</sub> ligand to give [RhH<sub>2</sub>(Cl)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]; this coordinatively unsaturated hydride can take up an olefin and rapid catalytic hydrogenation follows.<sup>4</sup> A similar situation arises with the chiral hydrogenation of olefins using rhodium or ruthenium chiral tertiary phosphine complexes as catalysts.1-5 A good example of the importance of co-ordinative unsaturation is with the hydroformylation of olefins using [CoH(CO)<sub>4</sub>] as catalyst. This co-ordinatively saturated hydride needs to lose CO to create a vacant site so that the cobalt can coordinate to the substrate olefin; catalysis can then proceed. There are many other examples of co-ordinatively saturated complexes either being unreactive or reacting only very slowly compared to related co-ordinatively unsaturated species which are sometimes extremely reactive. A classic example illustrating the enormous differences in substitution rates between coordinatively saturated (18e) and co-ordinatively unsaturated

(16e) complexes is  $[Rh(C_2H_4)_2(acac)](acac = acetylacetonate)$ which exchanges complexed ethylene with free ethylene at 10<sup>14</sup> times the rate at which the co-ordinatively saturated (18e) complex  $[Rh(C_2H_4)_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  exchanges.<sup>6,7</sup> In the present paper we describe a new method of generating a labile, coordinatively unsaturated iridium(I) complex by the rapid and reversible isomerisation of a co-ordinatively saturated iridium(III) hydride complex. The isomerisation involves using an ene-hydrazone backbone of a diphosphine as the sink for a hydrogen atom, thereby giving an azine backbone. We have found that azines or ene-hydrazones form very good backbones for diphosphines. Azines are relatively easy to prepare and are often very resistant to hydrolysis or other types of decomposition<sup>8</sup> but prior to our work<sup>9</sup> they have not been used in this way. In the present paper we describe results with iridium complexes, complexes which have in the past proved to be excellent model systems for predicting the behaviour of many other tertiary phosphine metal complexes including their use in catalysis.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The azine diphosphine  $PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2$ has the Z,Z configuration 1 but the energy barrier to rotation around the C=N bond is quite low <sup>8,9</sup> and it often co-ordinates in the E,Z configuration **2a** to a metal either as nine-membered chelate ring with two P donors or as a terdentate chelate with P,N and P donors.<sup>9-13</sup> A convenient reagent for generating iridium(I) carbonyl derivatives is  $[IrCl(CO)_2(H_2NC_6H_4Me-p)]^{14}$  which, for example, readily gives complexes of the type *trans*- $[IrCl(CO)(PR_3)_2]$  when treated with PR<sub>3</sub>. However, we find that on treating  $[IrCl(CO)_2(H_2NC_6H_4Me-p)]$  with the azine diphosphine 1 in hot benzene an excellent yield (87%) of the octahedral hydrido(carbonyl)iridium(III) complex 3 is obtained. This and other complexes described in this paper were usually characterised by elemental analysis and infrared spectroscopy (see Experimental section) and by <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H}, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} and <sup>13</sup>C-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy (Tables 1-3).

Table 1 <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR data<sup>a</sup>

Complex	$\delta(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}})$	δ(P <sub>B</sub> )	$^{2}J(PP)$
1 b	14.4		
3.	44.3	20.3	312
4a <sup>d</sup>	60.6	56.0	303
4b <sup>b</sup>	61.7	54.4	308
5a	42.5	9.6	35
5b	42.2	10.1	45
6	29.4	7.9	11
7	34.3	15.3	323
8a	34.9	8.4	32
8b	36.6	8.4	35
8c	36.7	8.8	37
8d	38.4	10.4	57
8e, 8f	32.5 °	14.5°	42 <sup>e</sup>
	41.2 <sup>f</sup>	6.7 <sup>f</sup>	39 <sup>r</sup>
9	3.7	-17.5	13
10	48.4	22.8	179
11	27.5	11.0	11
12	37.5	20.5	301
13	44.3	31.2	315
14 <sup><i>g</i></sup>	24.7	20.7	342
15	25.4	20.1	364

<sup>*a*</sup> Recorded at 36.2 MHz, chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm relative to 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, solvent CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> unless otherwise stated; J values are in Hz. <sup>*b*</sup> In CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*c*</sup> In C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. <sup>*d*</sup> In MeOH-C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. <sup>*e*</sup> Major isomer. <sup>*f*</sup> Minor isomer. <sup>*f*</sup> At 161.9 MHz.



Scheme 1 (*i*) [IrCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>NC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-p)]; (*ii*) EtOH or MeOH; (*iii*) CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>; (*iv*) NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>

3112 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, Pages 3111-3119

Various complexes and their reactions are summarised in Schemes 1–4. In particular, for complex  $3^{2}J(PP)$  is large (312) Hz) indicating mutually trans-co-ordinated P donors, and the value of  $\delta(H)$  for the hydride (-15.4) is close to those of similar hydride ligands trans to chloride for a range of iridium(III) hydrides.<sup>15-18</sup> We suggest that in effect the diphosphine in its ene-hydrazone form 2b has oxidatively added to the iridium. It is known that oxidative addition of X-H (X = H, B, C, N, O, S or Si) to iridium(1) is usually cisorientated.<sup>15-28</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} NMR data (Table 2) show the presence of an alkene hydrogen and the two nonequivalent methylene hydrogens [ $\delta = 3.03$  and 3.99,  $^{2}J(HH) = 11.7$  Hz]. There are many examples of octahedral iridium(III) hydrides or hydridocarbonyls, e.g. of types [IrH(Cl<sub>2</sub>)(CO)(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], [IrH<sub>2</sub>(Cl)(CO)(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], [IrH(Cl<sub>2</sub>)-(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], *etc.*<sup>1-3,15</sup> which show very poor reactivity towards alkenes or alkynes. However, we find that complex 3 dissolves readily in methanol or ethanol to give an isomeric but coordinatively unsaturated iridium(1) complex 4a (Scheme 1) in which a hydrogen has moved back to the methine carbon of the ene-hydrazone regenerating the azine moiety 2a and the hydride ligand has been lost from the iridium. The reverse process might be by deprotonation of iridium and protonation of carbon; or it might be by the reverse of the oxidative-addition reaction followed by a 1,3-prototropic shift  $2b \longrightarrow 2a$ , *i.e.* overall an intramolecular process; in each case chloride is lost from iridium as chloride ion. The cation [Ir(CO){PPh2- $CH_2C(Bu^t)=N-N=C(Bu^t)CH_2PPh_2\}]^+$  was readily isolated as the  $PF_6^-$  salt 4b, which was fully characterised. The <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} and other NMR spectra showed that the cations of the chloride salt 4a and the  $PF_6^-$  salt 4b were identical. The iridium(1) chloride salt 4a on dissolution in  $CH_2Cl_2$  or  $C_6H_6$  gave back the neutral iridium(III) hydride 3a rapidly.

We anticipated that the co-ordinatively unsaturated cation in the salt [Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}]PF<sub>6</sub> **4b** would take up unsaturated molecules, *e.g.* olefins, acetylenes or carbon monoxide, and also dihydrogen. This we have found to be the case. Thus treatment of the yellow solution of **4b** in dichloromethane with an excess of MeO<sub>2</sub>CC=CCO<sub>2</sub>Me at *ca.* 20 °C rapidly gave a colourless solution for which <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy indicated that essentially only one product was formed and this was characterised by an AX pattern,  $\delta(P_A)$  42.5,  $\delta(P_B)$  9.6, <sup>2</sup>J(P<sub>A</sub>P<sub>B</sub>) 35 Hz. The product [Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}(MeO<sub>2</sub>CC= CCO<sub>2</sub>Me)]PF<sub>6</sub> **5a** (Scheme 2) was isolated in 85% yield, its crystal structure was determined and is discussed below. An important feature of the structure is that it is based on a trigonal-bipyramidal cation with the two phosphorus atoms of



Scheme 2 (i) L; (ii) PhC≡CH; (iii) heat

### Table 2 Proton NMR data<sup>a</sup>

	δ(Bu <sup>t</sup> )	δ(CH <sub>2</sub> P)	Others
1 <sup>b</sup>	0.90 (18 H, s)	3.26 [4 H, d, <sup>2</sup> <i>J</i> (PH) 3.9]	
3'	0.77 (9 H, s)	3.03 (1 H, m, *J(HH) 11.7]* 3.99 [1 H, dd <sup>2</sup> ]((HH) 11.7 <sup>2</sup> ]((PH) 12.5]	$-15.40$ [1 H, dd, $^{2}J(PH)$ 11.4, 10.6, If H] $4.80$ [1 H t $^{2}J(PH) = ^{4}J(PH) 4.9$ [CH=]
4b	0.78 (9 H, s)	3.48 [2 H, d, <sup>2</sup> <i>J</i> (PH) 10.0]	
	1.22 (9 H, s)	4.17 [2 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 7.8, <sup>4</sup> J(PH) 2.5]	
5a*	0.80 (9 H, s)	2.47 [1 H, t, ${}^{2}J$ (HH) 12.9, ${}^{2}J$ (PH) 12.7] 3.86 [1 H t ${}^{2}I$ (HH) 12.9 ${}^{2}J$ (PH) 13.3]	3.59 (3 H, s, OMe) 3.78 (3 H, s, OMe)
	1.52 (911, 8)	$4.12 [1 H, dd, {}^{2}J(HH) 19.0, {}^{2}J(PH) 10.5, {}^{4}J(PH) 2.5]$	5.76 (5 11, 3, 0140)
		4.41 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 19.0, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 11.5]	
5b <i>°</i>	0.82 (9 H, s)	2.40 [1 H, dd, ${}^{2}J(HH)$ 12.8, ${}^{2}J(PH)$ 12.5]	3.40 (3 H, s, OMe) 6.09 [1 H, d, $\frac{3}{4}$ (PH) 11 4, 8.8 HC=]
	1.30 (9 11, 8)	$4.10 [1 H, dd, {}^{2}J(HH) 18.9, {}^{2}J(PH) 8.3, {}^{4}J(PH) 2.9]$	0.39 [1 11, dd, 3(1 11) 11.4, 0.0, 11C-]
		4.34 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 18.9, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 11.5]	
6	0.86 (9 H, s)	2.57 [1 H, t, ${}^{2}J(HH)$ 13.2, ${}^{2}J(PH)$ 12.9]	$-8.54$ [1 H, dd, $^{2}J(PH)$ 155.0, 12.8, IrH]
	1.50 (9 11, 5)	4.39 [2 H, d, <sup>2</sup> <i>J</i> (PH) 9.5]	
7	0.86 (9 H, s)	3.23 [1 H, t, ${}^{2}J(HH) = {}^{2}J(PH)$ 12.5]	-16.77 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 12.5, 8.8, IrH]
	1.50 (9 H, s)	$3.96 [1 H, m, {}^{2}J(HH) 12.5]^{a}$	
		4.16 [1 H, m, J(HH) 18.3] $4.64 [1 H, m, ^2J(HH) 18.3]^d$	
8a <sup>e</sup>	0.86 (9 H, s)	2.40 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 13.0, <sup>2</sup> J((PH) 12.5]	3.02 (3 H, s, NMe)
	1.42 (9 H, s)	$3.75 [1 \text{ H}, \text{t}, {}^{2}J(\text{HH}) 13.0, {}^{2}J(\text{PH}) 13.2]$	3.10 [1 H, dt, ${}^{3}J(HH)$ 5.1, ${}^{3}J(PH)$ 6.0, 5.1, =CH]
		$4.54 [1 \text{ H}, \text{ dd}, ^2J(\text{HH}) 19.2, ^2J(\text{PH}) 12.0]$	5.56 [1 11, iii, 5(111) 5.1, 5(1 11) 7.4, 2.5, -C11]
8b <sup>e</sup>	0.97 (9 H, s)	2.58 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 13.2, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 12.7]	3.23 (3 H, s, OMe)
	1.39 (9 H, s)	$3.08 [1 H, ddd, {}^{2}J(HH) 19.1, {}^{2}J(PH) 8.0, {}^{4}J(PH) 3.0]$	$3.56 [1 \text{ H}, \text{ddd}, {}^{3}J(\text{HH}) 10.0, {}^{3}J(\text{PH}) 6.0, 1.0, =\text{CH}]$
		4.01 [1 H, t, $-J(HH)$ 13.2, $-J(PH)$ 13.2] 4.23 [1 H, dd $^{2}J(HH)$ 19.1, $^{2}J(PH)$ 12.1]	$4.11 [1 \text{ H, m, }^{3}J(\text{HH}) 10.0, ^{3}J(\text{PH}) 7.9, 1.8, =\text{CH}]$
8c <sup>e</sup>	0.98 (9 H, s)	2.54 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 13.2, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 12.7]	0.72 [3 H, t, <sup>3</sup> J(HH) 7.2, CH <sub>2</sub> Me]
	1.38 (9 H, s)	$3.05 [1 H, ddd, {}^{2}J(HH) 19.2, {}^{2}J(PH) 8.0, {}^{4}J(PH) 3.0]$	1.16 [3 H, t, ${}^{3}J(HH)$ 7.1, CH <sub>2</sub> Me]
		4.00 [1 H, t, -J(HH) 13.2, -J(PH) 13.2] $4.22 [1 H, dd, ^2J(HH) 19.2, ^2J(PH) 12.1]$	$3.47 [1 \text{ H}, \text{ dd}, {}^{3}J(\text{HH}) 7.1, CH_{3}\text{Me}]$
			3.51 [1 H, ddd, <sup>3</sup> J(HH) 10.1, <sup>3</sup> J(PH) 6.4, 1.0, =CH]
			$3.92 [1 \text{ H}, \text{dq}, {}^{3}J(\text{HH}) 7.1, CH_2\text{Me}]$
			4.11 [1 H, dq, $(J(HH))$ 7.2, $CH_2Me$ ] 4.31 [1 H, dq, $(J(HH))$ 7.1, $CH_2Me$ ]
8d <sup>e</sup>	0.78 (9 H, s)	2.22 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 12.8, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 12.2]	$1.50 (1 H, m, CH_2=CH_2)$
	1.33 (9 H, s)	3.59 [1 H, ddd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 19.4, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 7.0, <sup>4</sup> J(PH) 2.6]	2.41 (1 H, m, $CH_2 = CH_2$ )
		$3.71$ [1 H, dd, $^{2}J$ (HH) 12.8, $^{2}J$ (PH) 12.0] 4 38 [1 H, dd, $^{2}J$ (HH) 19 4, $^{2}J$ (PH) 11.0]	2.83 (2 H, m, $CH_2 = CH_2$ )
8e, 8f	0.82 (9 H, s)	2.30 [1 H, dd, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 12.8, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 12.0]	2.45 [1 H, m, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 7.8, <sup>4</sup> J(HH) 2.8, =CH <sub>2</sub>
Major	1.33 (9 H, s)	3.59 [1 H, ddd, <sup>2</sup> <i>J</i> (HH) 18.9, <sup>2</sup> <i>J</i> (PH) 7.0, <sup>4</sup> <i>J</i> (PH) 2.8]	$(\text{co-ordinated})]^d$
isomer		$3.72$ [1 H, dd, $^{2}J(HH)$ 12.8, $^{3}J(PH)$ 12.7] 4 40 [1 H dd $^{2}J(HH)$ 18 9 $^{2}J(PH)$ 11 3]	(co-ordinated) <sup>4</sup>
			$5.25 (1 \text{ H}, \text{m}, =\text{CH}_2)^d$
0.0	1.17 (0.11 -)		$6.52 (1 \text{ H}, \text{m}, =\text{CH}_2)^d$
<b>y</b> .	1.17 (9 H, S) 1.52 (9 H, S)	$2.60 [1 H, dd, ^{2}J(HH) 17.5, ^{2}J(PH) 12.0]$ 3 20 [1 H dd $^{2}J(HH) 17.5 ^{2}J(PH) 13.5]$	$0.62 [3 H, t, {}^{3}J(HH) 7.0, CH_2Me]$ 0.91 [3 H t ${}^{3}J(HH) 7.0, CH_2Me]$
	1.52 (7 11, 6)		$3.14 (1 \text{ H}, \text{m}, CH_2\text{Me}), 3.26 (1 \text{ H}, \text{m}, CH_2\text{Me})$
			$3.83 (1 \text{ H, m, } CH_2\text{Me}), 3.95 (1 \text{ H, m, } CH_2\text{Me})$
			4.06 [1 H, d, -J(PH) 7.0, PCH=] 10.61 (1 H, br s, NH)
10	0.82 (9 H, s)	3.20 [2 H, d, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 11.7]	(,,
110	1.31 (9 H, s)	$4.09 [2 H, dd, {}^{2}J(PH) 10.3, {}^{4}J(PH) 3.2]$	8 74 F1 11 JJJ 2 ((DII) 128 0 12 0 2 ((111) 2 1 JJI)
11.	0.82 (9 H, s) 1.44 (9 H, s)	$3.48$ [1 H, dd, $^{2}J(HH)$ 18.6, $^{2}J(PH)$ 7.4, $^{4}J(PH)$ 2.6]	$-7.56$ [1 H, ddd, $^{-2}$ (PH) 138.0, 15.9, $^{-3}$ (PH) 2.1, IFH]
		3.61 [1 H, dt, ${}^{2}J(HH) = {}^{2}J(PH)$ 13.0, ${}^{4}J(PH)$ 2.4]	
170	0.09 (0.11 a)	$4.52 [1 H, ddd, {}^{2}J(HH) 18.6, {}^{2}J(PH) 11.2, {}^{4}J(PH) 1.9]$	$17.22 \Gamma H = \frac{2}{10} \frac{1}{100} 0.6 \frac{2}{100} \frac{1}{100} 1000 \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10$
12	0.98 (9 H, s) 1.45 (9 H, s)	2.00 [1 H, t, -J(HH)] = -J(PH) [13.1] $3.55 [1 H, ddd, ^2J(HH) [18.3, ^2J(PH) 9.9, ^4J(PH) 2.3]$	-8.87 [1 H, m, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 20.1, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 3.7, <sup>4</sup> J(HH) 1.5.
		4.16 [1 H, dt, ${}^{2}J(HH) = {}^{2}J(PH)$ 13.0, ${}^{4}J(PH)$ 4.8]	IrH]
17	0.78 (0.11 -)	$4.71 [1 \text{ H, m, }^2 J(\text{HH}) 18.3, ^2 J(\text{PH}) 7.7, ^4 J(\text{HH}) 1.5]$	
15	0.78 (9 H, s) 1.31 (9 H, s)	$3.58 [1 H, m, -J(HH)] [2.8]^{4}$	-18.59 [1 H, d, $-J$ (PH) 11.0, $-J$ (HH) 4.4, IFH] 7.17 [1 H, d, $-J$ (HH) 4.4, HCO <sub>2</sub> ]
	,~)	4.14 [1 H, m, <sup>2</sup> J(HH) 17.6] <sup>4</sup>	L ,
14	104/011-	4.43 [1 H, m, ${}^{2}J$ (HH) 17.6] <sup>4</sup>	0.67 F2 H + 3 /(DH) 5 0 L-M-7
14	1.04 (9 H, S) 1.51 (9 H, S)	$4.07 [1 H, dd, ^2J(HH) 12.7, ^2J(PH) 8.7]$	0.02 [5 n, i, $J(rn) 5.0$ , ITWIE]
		4.83 [2 H, d, <sup>2</sup> J(PH) 6.1]	
15	1.03 (9 H, s)	3.61 [2 H, d, <sup>2</sup> <i>J</i> (PH) 10.8]	
	1.32 (9 <b>F</b> 1, S)	+.00 [2 Π, uu, J(r Π) 0.0]	

<sup>*a*</sup> Recorded at 100 MHz, chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are in ppm relative to SiMe<sub>4</sub>, *J* values are in Hz, solvent CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> unless otherwise stated, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, dq = doublet of quartets, ddd = double doublet of doublets, m = multiplet. <sup>*b*</sup> In CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>*c*</sup> In C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. <sup>*d*</sup> Coupling to phosphorus nuclei not resolved. <sup>*e*</sup> At 400 Mz. <sup>*f*</sup> Minor isomer:  $\delta$  0.84 (s, Bu') and 1.35 (s, Bu'), methylene protons appeared as multiplets at  $\delta$  2.34, 3.65, 3.83 and 4.40.

	$\delta(CMe_3)$	$\delta(CMe_3)$	δ(CH <sub>2</sub> )	δ(C=N)	δ(C≡O)
3 <sup>b</sup>	28.8(s) 31.7(s)	39.4 [d, J(PC) 15.2] 39.5 [d, J(PC) 3.6]	20.5 [d, J(PC) 26.2]	190.6 [dd, /(PC) 17.2 1.8]	169.9 [t, J(PC) 7.9]
4b °	26.5 (s) 27.3 (s)	40.0 [d, J(PC) 2.0] 40.4 [d, J(PC) 4.8]	22.7 [d, J(PC) 23.9] 40.5 [d, J(PC) 29 3]	172.8 [d, J(PC) 1.7] 191.8 [t, J(PC) 4.0]	177.2 [t, J(PC) 9.3]
5a	26.6 (s) 28.2 (s)	40.3 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 1.9] 40.8 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 6.2]	26.9 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 23.4] 47.6 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 36.0]	176.6 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 3.3] 188.0 [t, <i>J</i> (PC) 2.7]	164.1 [dd, J(PC) 8.5
6	26 Q (c)	40.2 Ed (IPC) 2 21	24 7 FA UPC) 27 03	175 5 Fd - I(PC) 4 51	162 1 Edd - KPC) 7 6
U	28.6 (s)	41.5 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 5.0]	46.2 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 37.4]	175.5 [d, J(PC) 4.5] 188.8 [d, J(PC) 3.7]	103.1 [dd, J(FC) 7.0
8a	26.9 (s) 28.4 (s)	40.5 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 1.9] 41.1 [d, <i>J</i> (PC) 5.7]	26.2 [d, J(PC) 23.1] 47.3 [d, J(PC) 35.7]	178.0 [d, J(PC) 3.0] 190.2 [t, J(PC) 2.7]	165.3 [dd, J(PC) 8.6,

25.2 [d, J(PC) 22.4]

46.7 [d, J(PC) 34.7]

24.1 [d, J(PC) 21.6]

48.9 [d, J(PC) 36.1]

25.3 [d, J(PC) 24.8]

47.7 [d, J(PC) 38.3]

23.0 [d, J(PC) 23.5]

43.5 [d, J(PC) 38.6]

149.6 [d, J(PC) 3.7, =CN] 52.9 (s, OMe), 53.1 (s, OMe) 5, 5.3] 93.2 [dd, J(PC) 55.9, 7.4, C=] 93.6 [dd, J(PC) 51.0, 6.8, C=] 161.8 [dd, J(PC) 8.9, 6.4, C=O] 162.7 [dd, J(PC) 9.4, 7.3, C=O] , 4.0] 73.2 [dd, J(PC) 104.5, 14.1, IrC=] 108.2 [dd, J(PC) 25.8, 2.5, PhC=] 5, 5.3] 23.2 (s, NMe) 35.0 [dd, J(PC) 30.5, 5.0, CH=] 37.2 [dd, J(PC) 31.5, 5.4, CH=] 177.2 (br s, C=O) 177.8 [dd, J(PC) 3.9, 1.5, C=O] 164.2 [dd, J(PC) 7.7, 6.1] 35.7 [dd, J(PC) 26.2, 5.3, CH=] 39.2 [dd, J(PC) 26.5, 5.6, CH=] 51.5 (s, OMe), 52.0 (s, OMe) 172.4 [dd, J(PC) 3.7, 2.5, C=O] 175.4 [t, J(PC) 3.6, C=O]

74.4 [d, J(PC) 66.5, PCH=]

Others

<sup>a</sup> Recorded at 100.6 MHz, chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are in ppm relative to SiMe<sub>4</sub>, solvent CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> unless otherwise stated. <sup>b</sup> In C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. <sup>c</sup> In CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

193.8 [dd, J(PC) 3.3, 2.0]

176.0 [d, J(PC) 2.8]

186.7 [t, J(PC) 3.5]

174.7 [d, J(PC) 3.3]

186.3 [t, J(PC) 5.7]

173.7 [d, J(PC) 2.3]

185.3 [d, J(PC) 3.1]

175.8 [d, J(PC) 1.8]

166.1 [t, J(PC) 5.3]

176.1 [t, J(PC) 6.4]

157.4 [dd, J(PC) 8.0, 6.3]

the terdentate PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)=N-N=C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> ligand occupying equatorial sites and a nitrogen and apical site. The C=C occupies the third equatorial site with the C=C and the P-P vectors approximately parallel. Complex 5a was also characterised by elemental analytical and IR data (see Experimental section) and by phosphorus (Table 1), proton (Table 2) and carbon-13 (Table 3) NMR data.

40.3 [d, J(PC) 1.97

41.3 [d, *J*(PC) 6.0]

40.3 [d, J(PC) 2.1]

41.1 [d, J(PC) 6.9]

40.6 [d, J(PC) 1.9]

41.0 [d, J(PC) 5.0]

41.4 [d, J(PC) 1.7]

42.1 [d, J(PC) 5.4]

8b

11

12

15

27.2 (s)

28.7 (s)

27.0 (s)

28.5 (s)

27.8 (s)

28.3 (s)

27.8 (s)

28.3 (s)

Treatment of 4a with HC≡CCO<sub>2</sub>Me similarly gave an adduct  $[Ir(CO){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2}(HC \equiv$ 

 $CCO_2Me$ )]PF<sub>6</sub> as a single isomer **5b**; presumably, the C=C is co-ordinated approximately parallel to the P-P vector as found from the crystal structures of 5a and other complexes, see below. Five-co-ordinate alkene iridium(I) complexes are trigonal bipyramidal with the alkene in the equatorial plane<sup>29</sup> and this is believed to be the preferred orientation of such complexes on theoretical grounds.<sup>30</sup> The  $HC \equiv CCO_2 Me$  proton is coupled to both phosphorus atoms,  ${}^{3}J(PCH) = 11.4$  and 8.8 Hz.

The alkyne PhC=CH reacted with 4b in a different fashion from MeO<sub>2</sub>CC=CH in that C-H fission occurred to give an iridium phenylacetylide hydride. Thus treatment of 4b in dichloromethane at ca. 20 °C with an excess of PhC≡CH rapidly gave a colourless adduct which the IR  $[v(IrH) = 2120 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$ and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (Table 2) established to be the iridium hydride 6 with a fac-co-ordinated diphosphine ligand and with the hydride ligand *trans* to one phosphorus,  ${}^{2}J(PH) = 155 Hz$ and cis to the other  ${}^{2}J(PH) = 12.8$  Hz (Table 2). When a solution of complex 6 in  $C_6D_6$  was heated for 4 h at 75 °C, it isomerised to a phenylacetylide hydride with a mer-coordinated diphosphine ligand,  ${}^{2}J(PP) = 323$  Hz and a hydride resonance at  $\delta$  -16.77; this hydride ligand was coupled to both phosphorus atoms,  ${}^{2}J(PH) = 12.5$  and 8.8 Hz, *i.e.* the phosphorus atoms were now both cis to the hydride. We formulate this isomeric complex as 7 with H in the trans position to nitrogen. We have found similar chemical shifts  $(\delta \approx -18)$  for other hydrides with the hydride *trans* to a nitrogen, see below. With much less electronegative donor atoms such as carbon or phosphorus trans to hydride, shifts of  $\delta - 8$  to -9 would be expected.<sup>15,17,24,27,28</sup>

We have also made adducts of 4b with electronegative olefins, with ethene and with allene (Scheme 3). Treatment of a dichloromethane solution of 4b with N-methylmaleimide gave essentially a single adduct in 87% isolated yield. The structure of this adduct was determined by X-ray diffraction which showed it to be 8a, with the C=C of the maleimide moiety co-ordinated to the iridium at an equatorial site and the C=C vector approximately parallel to the P-P vector (see below).

Similar adducts were prepared from trans-MeO<sub>2</sub>CCH=CH-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (dimethyl fumarate) and trans-EtO<sub>2</sub>CCH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Et (diethyl fumarate) in 74 and 89% yields, respectively. Both complexes were fully characterised. In both cases essentially one adduct was detected in solution by NMR spectroscopy.

When ethene was bubbled into a solution of complex 4b in dichloromethane the solution rapidly became colourless. The uptake was reversible and on bubbling dinitrogen through the solution 4b was reformed  $({}^{31}P{}_{1}H$  NMR evidence). However, when an ethanol-dichloromethane solution of this ethene adduct was evaporated in a stream of ethene, a colourless ethene adduct 8d was obtained as white crystals. The  ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ NMR pattern of this adduct indicated that the P nuclei were mutually cis, i.e. the diphosphine was in the fac arrangement as in the other adducts such as 5a, 5b and 8a-8c, above. In the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} NMR spectra (Table 2), the  $C_2H_4$  hydrogens gave a broad resonance at 25 °C; this was very probably due to ethene rotation since at -40 °C (and at 600 MHz) a sharp resonance was observed for each of the four ethene nonequivalent hydrogens and at this temperature rotation had effectively ceased.

When allene was bubbled through a solution of complex 4b a mixture of two colourless adducts was formed and isolated. We formulate these as 8e and 8f, i.e. with co-ordination of the allene through each of the double bonds, on the left 8e or on the right 8f. The  ${}^{31}P{-}{}^{1}H$  NMR evidence is that one allene adduct is formed in a much larger amount than the other and we refer to these as major and minor adducts, although we cannot say which is 8e and which is 8f. In the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H- $\{^{31}P\}$  spectrum we can see all the resonances due to the major adduct (see Table 2),



Scheme 3 (i) L; (ii) EtO<sub>2</sub>CN=NCO<sub>2</sub>Et; (iii) C=O

but can only identify some of the resonances for the minor adduct (see footnote to Table 2).

Treatment of **4b** with an excess of azoethyl formate gave a pale red solution from which an adduct was isolated as white crystals in 67% yield. The <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed that the P nuclei were mutually *cis*-co-ordinated. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} NMR spectra (Table 2), however, showed that only one CH<sub>2</sub> remained on the diphosphine backbone and that a proton had been removed from the other CH<sub>2</sub>, leaving a PCH= group with  $\delta(H)$  4.06 and that the azoethyl formate moiety had been monoprotonated on one of the co-ordinated nitrogens  $\delta(NH)$  10.61, *i.e.* as depicted in complex **9**.

When carbon monoxide was bubbled through a  $CD_2Cl_2$  solution of complex **4b** a dicarbonyliridium complex **10** was formed; this was characterised only in solution and not isolated. It showed carbonyl absorptions at 2015 and 1985 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the IR spectrum; <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H}, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} NMR data are given in the Tables.

The iridium(I) salt 4b in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution reacted rapidly with dihydrogen to give an iridium dihydride with a *fac* arrangement for the diphosphine and *cis*-dihydride ligands, each of which was co-ordinated *trans* to P as shown by the large values of <sup>2</sup>J(PH) *trans* 138.0 and 132.6 Hz (Table 2). In solution this *fac* hydride 11 gradually isomerised over several hours to give 12 in which the diphosphine was now co-ordinated in the *mer* configuration  $[^2J(P_AP_B) = 301 \text{ Hz}]$  and the two hydride ligands *trans* to CO and N (Scheme 4). In Fig. 1 we show the proton NMR spectra of the reaction solution in the hydride region (*a*) 45 min after the hydrogen was bubbled into 4b; 11 is the major species but some of the *mer* isomer 12 is present and (*b*) after 24 h when the major species is 12 and very little of 11 remains.

We have also investigated some of the oxidative-addition

reactions of complex 4b. Treatment with formic acid in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution gave a colourless adduct 13 (Scheme 4) which was isolated as white crystals in good yield. This adduct gave a good C, H, N analysis and its <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR pattern showed that the diphosphine ligand was in the mer configuration with  ${}^{2}J(\mathbf{P}_{A}\mathbf{P}_{B}) = 315$  Hz. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} NMR data show the presence of a hydride  $\delta(H) - 18.59$  and a formate ligand  $\delta(H)$  7.17 with mutual coupling <sup>4</sup>J(HH) 4.4 Hz (Table 2). Treatment of 4b with methyl iodide gave an adduct, with the diphosphine in the mer configuration. This adduct was identified by <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H}, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} NMR spectroscopy, see Tables 1 and 2, and we tentatively formulate it as 14 with the methyl and iodide mutually trans. Similarly Br<sub>2</sub> added to 4b to give a single adduct 15 with the diphosphine in the mer configuration  $[^{2}J(P_{A}P_{B}) = 364 \text{ Hz}]$  and the two Br atoms mutually trans, since both sets of CH<sub>2</sub> hydrogens were



Scheme 4 (i) H<sub>2</sub>; (ii) 20 °C; (iii) HCO<sub>2</sub>H; (iv) MeI; (v) Br<sub>2</sub>



Fig. 1 Portions (in the hydride region) of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the dihydride mixture of 11 and 12, formed by bubbling dihydrogen through a solution of 4b in  $CD_2Cl_2$  for *ca*. 2 min, (*a*) 45 min and (*b*) 24 h after the dihydrogen was introduced. The hydride resonances due to 11 are marked with an asterisk, those due to 12 are marked with  $\blacktriangle$ 

chemically equivalent indicating a plane of symmetry and mutually *trans* bromine ligands. Compound 15 was also characterised by NMR data (Table 3). Compounds 14 and 15 were prepared on a small scale and were not characterised by elemental analysis.

### Crystal structure of the adduct 5a

The crystal structure of complex **5a** is shown in Fig. 2, with crystallographic data in Table 4 and selected bond lengths and angles in Table 5. Some features are: (*i*) the azine diphosphine ligand is in the *fac* arrangement with P(2)–Ir–P(1) 109.97(4)° with the nitrogen donor occupying an axial site *trans* to C=O; (*ii*) the acetylene bond length, C(8)–C(9) 1.285(7) Å, is longer than in free acetylenes (*ca.* 1.2 Å), as expected; (*iii*) the C–C=C–C moiety has become bent to a considerable extent on coordination, *e.g.* C(8)–C(9)–C(91) 145.5(5) and C(9)–C(81) 141.2(5)°; (*iv*) C(8)–C(9) is approximately parallel to P(1)–P(2), as might be expected.<sup>29,30</sup>

#### Crystal structure of the adduct 8a

The crystal structure of complex **8a** is shown in Fig. 3, with selected bond lengths and angles in Table 6. Some features are: (*i*) as with compound **5a**, the azine diphosphine ligand is in the *fac* arrangement with P(2)-Ir-P(1) 107.80(4)° with the nitrogen donor occupying an axial site *trans* to C=O; (*ii*) the olefinic bond length C(9)-C(10) is 1.446(7) Å, much longer than C=C bond lengths in free olefins (*ca.* 1.35 Å) and approximately halfway towards that of a single C-C bond (1.54 Å); (*iii*) the C(9)-C(10) vector of the N-methylmaleimide ligand is approximately parallel to the P(1)-P(2) vector; (*iv*) C(13)-C(9)-Ir and C(11)-C(10)-Ir are 114.8(4) and 114.1(3)°, respectively.

# A New Method of Creating Co-ordinative Unsaturation

The importance of creating co-ordinative unsaturation has been discussed briefly above. The results we have presented in this paper suggest a new method of creating co-ordinative unsaturation which might be useful in synthesis and catalysis. A key step in many organometallic and catalytic reactions is the migration of a hydride ligand from a metal to a co-ordinated olefin  $(A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C)$ . Examples where this occurs include hydrogenation, hydroformylation, hydrosilylation, hydroboration, hydrocyanation and olefin oligomerisation; the reverse process  $(\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \beta$ -hydrogen elimination) is also important.<sup>1-4</sup> The step  $\mathbf{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  controls the regioselectivity and stereoselectivity of the overall catalytic process. For a hydride complex A to react with an olefin in this way it must be co-ordinatively unsaturated so that the olefin can become coordinated. Hydrides which are not co-ordinatively unsaturated such as for example complexes of the types  $[IrH(Cl_2)(CO)L_2]$ ,  $[IrH_2(Cl)(CO)L_2]$  or  $[IrH_2ClL_3]$  (L = tertiary phosphine) react with olefins extremely slowly, if at all.<sup>1-3,15</sup>

Our work suggests the possibility of a hydride ligand in 18electron complexes migrating on to the diphosphine backbone and this, coupled with loss of a chloride ion, as in the conversion of 3 into 4a, frees co-ordination sites and the complex could then take up a substrate such as an olefin or acetylene. The



migration might be temporary in that the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atom from the azine diphosphine ligand backbone could then migrate to the co-ordinated olefin or acetylene, possibly by an ene-hydrazone oxidative-addition path or by deprotonation/ protonation. In effect the azine/ene-hydrazone backbone acts as a source or sink for a hydrogen atom. The work we have described in this paper shows that for iridium such interconversions are possible, e.g. the migration of a hydrogen atom from the iridium to the ene-hydrazone backbone and then to the EtO<sub>2</sub>CN=NCO<sub>2</sub>Et substrate in the conversion of 3 to 4a and then to 9. In order to generate systems in which such transformations are involved in catalytic cycles it may be necessary to use metals more labile than iridium, such as rhodium, ruthenium or palladium, or to use more vigorous conditions. The substituents on the diphosphine backbone would also influence these transformations. We have published a preliminary note on some of this work.<sup>32</sup>

# **Experimental**

All the reactions were carried out in an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen or dry argon. Infrared spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer model 457 grating spectrometer, values of v(C=O) were determined in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution, unless stated otherwise. The NMR spectra were recorded using a JEOL FX-90Q spectrometer (operating frequencies for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P of 89.5 and 36.2 MHz, respectively), a JEOL FX-100 spectrometer (operating frequencies for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P of 99.5 and 40.25 MHz respectively), a Bruker ARX-250 spectrometer (operating



Fig. 2 An ORTEP<sup>31</sup> diagram of the crystal structure of complex 5a. Ellipses are drawn at the 50% probability level. In the interests of clarity, phenyl carbons and hydrogen atoms are drawn as circles of arbitrary radius



Fig. 3 An ORTEP<sup>31</sup> diagram of the crystal structure of complex 8a. Details as in Fig. 2

#### Table 4 Crystallographic data for compounds 5a and 8a<sup>a</sup>

	5a	8a
Formula	$C_{43}H_{48}F_6IrN_2O_5P_3$	$C_{42}H_{47}F_{6}IrN_{3}O_{3}P_{3}$
M	1071.94	1040.9
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/c$
Crystal dimensions/mm	$0.65 \times 0.27 \times 0.12$	$0.72 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$
a/A	10.9282(11)	11.2268(12)
b/Å	33.729(3)	12.7431(11)
c/Å	12.1256(11)	29.878(2)
β/°	97.326(10)	91.126(8)
$\dot{U}/\dot{A}^3$	4433.0(7)(5)	4273.6(7)
$D_{c}/g  {\rm cm}^{-3}$	1.61	1.62
F(000)	2144	2080
$\mu/mm^{-1}$	3.191	3.305
Scan widths/° + a-doublet splitting	1.05	b
No. of data collected	8751	7349
No. of unique data, n	7793	7349
No. of data observed $ F_0  > 4.0\sigma( F_0 )$	5777	6462
Rint <sup>c</sup>	0.0374	
$R_{sig}^{d}$	0.0471	0.0240
Maximum, minimum transmission factors	0.3837, 0.5209	0.5465, 0.6415
T/K	200	140
$\rho_{\rm max}, \rho_{\rm min}/e {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	0.94, -0.86	1.48, -1.58
$\Delta/\sigma_{max}$	0.59	0.006
$R_1^{e^{-e^{-a^{e^{-a^{e^{-a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^{e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^{a^e^e^{a^e^e^a}a^e^aa^e}}}}}$	0.0606	0.0375
$wR_2^{f}$	0.0759	0.0891
Weighting parameters $x, y^{g}$	0.0376, 5.8768	0.0296, 25.4324
No. of parameters, p	569	518
Goodness of fit <sup>h</sup>	1.028	1.019

<sup>*a*</sup> Common to both compounds: orthorhombic, Z = 4,  $4.0 < 2\theta < 50.0^{\circ}$ , maximum and minimum scan speeds 1.5 and 8.0° min<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>*b*</sup> Each scan divided into 30 steps, scan widths and step sizes from a learnt profile. <sup>*c*</sup>  $R_{int} = \Sigma [F_o^2 - F_o^2(\text{mean})]/\Sigma [F_o^2]$ . <sup>*d*</sup>  $R_{sig} = \Sigma [\sigma(F_o^2)]/\Sigma [F_o]^2$ . <sup>*e*</sup>  $R_1 = \Sigma (|F_o| - |F_c|)/\Sigma |F_o|$ . <sup>*f*</sup>  $wR_2 = \Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_o|^2$ . <sup>*g*</sup> Weighting scheme used  $w = [\sigma^2 (F_o^2) + xP^2 + yP]^{-1}$  where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ . <sup>*h*</sup>  $s = \{\Sigma [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/(n-p)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

 Table 5
 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for compound 5a

 with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses

Table 6 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for compound 8a with e.s.d.s in parentheses

Ir-C(7)	1.854(5)	Ir-C(9)	2.113(5)	Ir-C(8)	1.859(5)	Ir-N(4)	2.118(4)
Ir-N(3)	2.129(4)	Ir-C(8)	2.132(5)	Ir-C(10)	2.165(5)	Ir-C(9)	2.186(5)
Ir-P(2)	2.3237(12)	Ir-P(1)	2.3263(12)	Ir-P(1)	2.3329(12)	Ir-P(2)	2.3382(12)
P(1)-C(121)	1.816(5)	P(2)-C(221)	1.819(5)	C(2)-C(3)	1.500(7)	C(3)–N(4)	1.289(6)
P(1)-C(111)	1.820(5)	P(2)-C(211)	1.832(5)	C(3)-C(31)	1.544(7)	N(4)-N(5)	1.411(5)
P(1)-C(1)	1.844(5)	P(2)-C(6)	1.854(5)	N(5)-C(6)	1.282(6)	C(6)-C(7)	1.508(7)
C(1)-C(2)	1.497(6)	C(5)-C(6)	1.508(7)	C(6)-C(61)	1.527(7)	C(8)-O(8)	1.141(6)
C(2) - N(3)	1.300(6)	N(4)C(5)	1.276(6)	C(9)-C(10)	1.446(7)	C(9)-C(13)	1.466(8)
C(2)-C(21)	1.537(7)	C(5)-C(51)	1.528(7)	C(10)-C(11)	1.497(7)	C(11)-O(11)	1.214(6)
N(3) - N(4)	1.411(5)	C(7)-O(7)	1.137(6)	C(11) - N(12)	1.393(7)	N(12)-C(13)	1.402(7)
C(8) - C(9)	1.285(7)	C(8) - C(81)	1.476(7)	N(12) - C(12)	1.453(7)	C(13)-O(13)	1.209(7)
C(81)-O(82)	1.192(6)	C(81)-O(83)	1.325(7)				( )
O(83)C(84)	1.450(7)	C(9)-C(91)	1.462(7)	C(8)-Ir-N(4)	175.1(2)	C(8)-Ir- $C(10)$	98.5(2)
C(91)-O(92)	1.194(6)	C(91)-O(93)	1.337(6)	N(4) - Ir - C(10)	85.8(2)	C(8) - Ir - C(9)	96.4(2)
O(93)-C(94)	1.448(6)			N(4)-Ir-C(9)	86.5(2)	C(10)-Ir- $C(9)$	38.8(2)
-() -()				C(8) - Ir - P(1)	101.1(2)	N(4) - Ir - P(1)	80.51(11)
C(7) - Ir - C(9)	98.2(2)	C(81)C(8)Ir	147.3(4)	C(10) - Ir - P(1)	97.11(14)	C(9) - Ir - P(1)	135.09(14)
C(9)-Ir-N(3)	85.5(2)	C(7) - Ir - N(3)	176.1(2)	C(8) - Ir - P(2)	90.59(14)	N(4) - Ir - P(2)	84.54(10)
C(9) - Ir - C(8)	35.3(2)	C(7) - Ir - C(8)	96.0(2)	C(10) - Ir - P(2)	151.31(14)	C(9) - Ir - P(2)	113.53(14)
C(7)-Ir-P(2)	91.36(15)	N(3)-Ir-C(8)	86.2(2)	P(1) - Ir - P(2)	107.80(4)	C(3)-C(2)-P(1)	112.9(3)
N(3) - Ir - P(2)	84.83(10)	C(9) - Ir - P(2)	147.54(13)	N(4)-C(3)-C(2)	116.7(4)	N(4)-C(3)-C(31)	124.6(5)
C(7)-Ir- $P(1)$	99.27(15)	C(8)-Ir- $P(2)$	113.12(13)	C(2)-C(3)-C(31)	118.5(4)	C(3)-N(4)-N(5)	119.4(4)
N(3)-Ir-P(1)	81.37(11)	C(9)-Ir-P(1)	99.04(13)	C(3)-N(4)-Ir	124.5(3)	N(5) - N(4) - Ir	115.5(3)
P(2)-Ir-P(1)	109.97(4)	C(8)-Ir-P(1)	133.65(13)	C(6)-N(5)-N(4)	116.1(4)	N(5)-C(6)-C(7)	122.9(4)
C(1)-P(1)-Ir	100.3(2)	C(6) - P(2) - Ir	106.6(2)	N(5)-C(6)-C(61)	116.5(4)	C(7)-C(6)-C(61)	120.7(4)
C(2)-C(1)-P(1)	115.0(3)	C(5)-C(6)-P(2)	113.1(3)	C(6)-C(7)-P(2)	112.9(3)	O(8)-C(8)-Ir	175.5(4)
N(3)-C(2)-C(1)	116.8(4)	N(4)-C(5)-C(6)	123.5(4)	C(10)-C(9)-C(13)	107.9(5)	C(10)-C(9)-Ir	69.8(3)
N(3)-C(2)-C(21)	124.6(4)	N(4)-C(5)-C(51)	117.0(4)	C(13)-C(9)-Ir	114.8(4)	C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	106.1(5)
C(1)-C(2)-C(21)	118.4(4)	C(6)-C(5)-C(51)	119.4(4)	C(9)-C(10)-Ir	71.4(3)	C(11)-C(10)-Ir	114.1(3)
C(2)-N(3)-N(4)	119.4(4)	C(2)-N(3)-Ir	124.6(3)	O(11)-C(11)-N(12)	124.2(5)	O(11)-C(11)-C(10)	128.8(5)
N(4)-N(3)-Ir	115.2(3)	C(5)-N(4)-N(3)	115.1(4)	N(12)-C(11)-C(10)	107.0(4)	C(11)-N(12)-C(13)	111.7(4)
O(7)-C(7)-Ir	117.0(4)	C(8)-C(9)-C(91)	145.5(5)	C(11)-N(12)-C(12)	124.7(5)	C(13)-N(12)-C(12)	123.6(5)
C(9) - C(8) - C(81)	141.2(5)	C(8)-C(9)-Ir	73.2(3)	O(13)-C(13)-N(12)	123.2(5)	O(13)-C(13)-C(9)	129.8(5)
C(9)C(8)Ir	71.6(3)	C(91)-C(9)-Ir	141.3(4)	N(12)-C(13)-C(9)	107.1(5)		

frequencies for <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>13</sup>C of 250.1, 101.3 and 62.9 MHz, respectively) or a Bruker AM-400 spectrometer (operating frequencies for <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>13</sup>C of 400.13, 161.9 and 100.6 MHz

respectively). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts are relative to tetramethylsilane and <sup>31</sup>P shifts are relative to 85% phosphoric acid, and all coupling constants are in Hz. Electron impact

(EI) and fast-atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectra were recorded using a VG Autospec spectrometer with 8 kV acceleration, and for the metal complexes the calculated m/z values are quoted for <sup>35</sup>Cl and <sup>193</sup>Ir.

## Preparation of the iridium complexes

### [IrH(Cl)(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH=C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)N-N=C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}]

3. A solution containing the diphosphine 1 (1.30 g, 2.3 mmol) and [IrCl(CO)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>NC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me-*p*)] (0.89 g, 2.3 mmol) in benzene (30 cm<sup>3</sup>) was gently refluxed for 20 min. The resulting yellow-brown solution was filtered and then concentrated to low volume (*ca.* 10 cm<sup>3</sup>) under reduced pressure. Addition of *n*-hexane (*ca.* 20 cm<sup>3</sup>) to the residue gave the iridium(III) hydride 3 as yellow microcrystals (1.63 g, 87%) (Found: C, 54.95; H, 5.35; Cl, 4.45; N, 3.3. C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>42</sub>ClIrN<sub>2</sub>OP<sub>2</sub>·0.2C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> requires C, 54.95; H, 5.2; Cl, 4.25; N, 3.35%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=C) 2030 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}]Cl 4a. The neutral complex 3 was dissolved in methanol (*ca.* 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) containing  $C_6D_6$  as an NMR lock (reference). The <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} and <sup>13</sup>C-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR and the IR spectra were recorded and established that the salt 4a had formed essentially quantitatively (see Discussion section).

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}]PF<sub>6</sub> 4b. An excess of NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (0.20 g, 1.2 mmol) in ethanol (2 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to a solution of **3** (0.20 g, 0.24 mmol) in ethanol (8 cm<sup>3</sup>). The required iridium(1) complex 4b deposited as yellow microcrystals (0.15 g, 66%) (Found: C, 47.6; H, 4.55; N, 3.0.  $C_{37}H_{42}F_6IrN_2OP_3$  requires C, 47.8; H, 4.55; N, 3.0%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=O) 2000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}( $\eta^2$ -MeO<sub>2</sub>-CC=CCO<sub>2</sub>Me)]PF<sub>6</sub> 5a. An excess of MeO<sub>2</sub>CC=CCO<sub>2</sub>Me (30 µl) was added to a solution of 4b (48 mg, 0.052 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (ca. 1.5 cm<sup>3</sup>). The resulting colourless solution was concentrated to low volume (ca. 0.25 cm<sup>3</sup>) and MeOH (ca. 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) added, to give the required product 5a as white microcrystals (47 mg, 85%) (Found: C, 48.45; H, 4.65; N, 2.45. C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>48</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>P<sub>3</sub> requires C, 48.15; H, 4.5; N, 2.6%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=O) 2035, v(C=C) 1800 and v(C=O) 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}( $\eta$ -HC=C-CO<sub>2</sub>Me)]PF<sub>6</sub> 5b. The complex 5b was prepared in a similar fashion to 5a, as white microcrystals, in 86% yield (Found: C, 48.3; H, 4.4; N, 2.75. C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>46</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> requires C, 48.55; H, 4.55; N, 2.75%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=O) 2030 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[IrH(C=CPh)(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)=N-N=C(Bu<sup>t</sup>)CH<sub>2</sub>-

**PPh<sub>2</sub>]]PF<sub>6</sub> 6.** An excess of phenylacetylene (0.10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to a solution of **4b** (100 mg, 0.10 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (*ca.* 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup>). After 3 h the solution was concentrated to low volume (*ca.* 0.25 cm<sup>3</sup>) and MeOH (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) added. The complex **6** was deposited as off-white microcrystals (85 mg, 77%) (Found: C, 52.35; H, 4.7; N, 2.7. C<sub>45</sub>H<sub>48</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>2</sub>OP<sub>3</sub> requires C, 52.35; H, 4.7; N, 2.7%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=O) 2060 and v(IrH) 2120 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# $[Ir(CO){PPh_2CH_2C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_2PPh_2}(\eta^2-CO-$

CH=CHCONMe)]PF<sub>6</sub> 8a. The complex 8a was prepared in 87% yield as white microcrystals in a similar manner to the method described for 5a. An analytical sample was crystallised from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH (Found: C, 48.1; H, 4.45; N, 3.9. C<sub>42</sub>H<sub>47</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>·0.25CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> requires C, 48.3; H, 4.55; N, 4.0%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=O) 2035 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}( $\eta^2$ -MeO<sub>2</sub>-CCH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Me)]PF<sub>6</sub> 8b. This was prepared similarly in 74% yield as white microcrystals (Found: C, 47.65; H, 4.65; N, 2.45. C<sub>43</sub>H<sub>50</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>P<sub>3</sub>·0.25CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> requires C, 48.1; H, 4.7; N, 2.6%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=O) 2040 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}( $\eta^2$ -EtO<sub>2</sub>C-CH=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Et)]PF<sub>6</sub> 8c. This was prepared similarly in 89% yield as white microcrystals (Found: C, 48.75; H, 4.85; N, 2.3.

 $C_{45}H_{54}F_6IrN_2O_5P_3\cdot 0.25CH_2Cl_2$  requires C, 49.05; H, 4.95; N, 2.55%). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): v(C=O) 2040 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>= CH<sub>2</sub>)]PF<sub>6</sub>8d. Ethene was bubbled through a solution of 4b (134 mg, 0.144 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (*ca.* 1.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) for 2 min. EtOH was then added to the resulting colourless solution which was concentrated under a stream of ethene to give the ethene complex 8d as white microcrystals (103 mg, 74%) (Found: C, 48.45; H, 4.7; N, 2.75. C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>46</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> requires C, 48.9; H, 4.85; N, 2.9%). IR: v(C=O) 2015 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH=C(Bu')-N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=C-=CH<sub>2</sub>)]PF<sub>6</sub> 8e, 8f. The mixture of the two complexes 8e, 8f was prepared in 53% yield as white microcrystals (Found: C, 49.25; H, 4.65; N, 2.9. C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>46</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> requires C, 49.55; H, 4.8; N, 2.9%). IR: v(C=O) 2030 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}( $\eta^2$ -EtO<sub>2</sub>-CNHNCO<sub>2</sub>Et)]PF<sub>6</sub>9. An excess of azoethyl formate (30 µl) was added to a solution of complex 4b (40 mg, 0.043 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 cm<sup>3</sup>). After 1.5 h the resulting pale red solution was concentrated to low volume and EtOH was added to the residue to give the complex 9 as white microcrystals (32 mg, 67%) (Found: C, 44.95; H, 4.45; N, 4.8. C<sub>4.3</sub>H<sub>52</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub>P<sub>3</sub>· 0.75CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> requires C, 45.0; H, 4.6; N, 4.8%). IR: v(C=O) 2065 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>{PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}]PF<sub>6</sub> 10. Carbon monoxide was bubbled through a solution of complex 4b (20 mg, 0.022 mmol) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (*ca.* 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) for 15 min. The <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H}, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H-{<sup>31</sup>P} NMR spectra were recorded (see Discussion section). IR: v(C=O) 2015 and 1985 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

fac-[IrH<sub>2</sub>(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu<sup>+</sup>)=N-N=C(Bu<sup>+</sup>)CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}]PF<sub>6</sub> 11 and mer-[IrH<sub>2</sub>(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu<sup>+</sup>)=N-N=C(Bu<sup>+</sup>)CH<sub>2</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>}]PF<sub>6</sub> 12. Hydrogen was bubbled through a solution of complex 4b (30 mg, 0.032 mmol) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (ca. 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) for 3 min. This gave a solution of the fac-dihydride 11, which over a period of ca. 15 h isomerised almost completely to the mer-dihydride 12 (see Discussion section). IR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): complex 11, v(C=O) 2045, v(IrH) 2100 and 2170 cm<sup>-1</sup>; complex 12, v(C=O) 2015, v(IrH) 2110 and 2160 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

[IrH(O<sub>2</sub>CH)(CO){PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu<sup>i</sup>)=N-N=C(Bu<sup>i</sup>)CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>}]-PF<sub>6</sub> 13. An excess of HCO<sub>2</sub>H (50 µl) was added to a solution of complex 4b (50 mg, 0.054 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 cm<sup>3</sup>). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and diethyl ether (2 cm<sup>3</sup>) added to the residue, followed by formic acid (*ca*. 0.1 cm<sup>3</sup>). This gave 13 as white microcrystals (40 mg, 76%) (Found: C, 46.0; H, 4.45; N, 2.75. C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>44</sub>F<sub>6</sub>IrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>·0.2 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> requires C, 46.2; H, 4.5; N, 2.8%).

[**IrI**(**Me**)(**CO**){**PPh<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH<sub>2</sub><b>PPh<sub>2</sub>**}]**PF**<sub>6</sub> **14.** An excess of MeI (50  $\mu$ l) was added to a solution of complex **4b** (40 mg, 0.043 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 cm<sup>3</sup>). After 24 h the solution was concentrated to low volume under reduced pressure and MeOH was added to give the required product as pale yellow microcrystals (21 mg, 42%).

 $[IrBr_{2}(CO){PPh_{2}CH_{2}C(Bu')=N-N=C(Bu')CH_{2}PPh_{2}}]PF_{6}$ 

**15.** A solution of bromine (0.045 mmol) in  $CCl_4$  was added to a solution of complex **4b** (40 mg, 0.043 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 cm<sup>3</sup>) at 0 °C. After 30 min, the solution was concentrated to low volume (0.25 cm<sup>3</sup>) and methanol added. This gave the required product **15** as yellow microcrystals (20 mg, 43%).

#### X-Ray diffraction analysis

All diffraction measurements were made on a Stoe STADI4 diffractometer operating in the  $\omega$ - $\theta$  scan mode using graphitemonochromated X-radiation ( $\lambda = 0.710.69$  Å) and, for compound 8a, an on-line profile fitting method.<sup>33</sup> Crystal data are listed in Table 4 together with details of data collection and structure refinement. Both data sets were corrected for absorption using azimuthal  $\psi$ -scans. Both structures were solved by standard heavy-atom techniques using SHELXS 86<sup>34</sup> and were refined by full-matrix least squares using SHELXL 93.<sup>35</sup> For both complexes all non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anion of compound **5a** was disordered over two positions about the central phosphorus atom. Restraints were applied to all phenyl groups such that they remained flat with overall  $C_{2v}$  symmetry. All hydrogen atoms were constrained to calculated positions with fixed isotropic thermal parameters of  $nU_{eq}$  of the parent carbon atom, where *n* was 1.5 for methyl hydrogens and 1.2 for all others.

Atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Instructions for Authors, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1996, Issue 1. Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 186/46.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the SERC for a fellowship (to S. D. P.) and for other support, and Johnson Matthey for the generous loan of iridium salts.

## References

- Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, eds. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982 and refs. therein.
- 2 Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, eds. G. Wilkinson, R. D. Gillard and J. A. McCleverty, Pergamon, Oxford, 1987 and refs. therein.
- 3 J. P. Collman, L. S. Hegedus, J. R. Norton and R. G. Finke, *Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry*, University Science Books, California, 1987 and refs. therein.
- 4 F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 5th edn., Wiley, New York, 1988 and refs. therein.
- 5 R. Noyori and H. Takaya, Acc. Chem. Res., 1990, 23, 345.
- 6 R. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 217.
- 7 R. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 5681.
- 8 C. G. McCarty, in *The Chemistry of the Carbon-Nitrogen Double Bond*, ed. S. Patai, Interscience, London, New York, Sydney and Toronto, 1970, p. 363.

- 9 S. D. Perera, B. L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 1469.
- 10 S. D. Perera, B. L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 3653.
- 11 S. D. Perera, B. L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1994, 3311.
- 12 U. U. Ike, S. D. Perera, B. L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 2057 and refs. therein.
- 13 S. D. Perera, B. L. Shaw, M. Thornton-Pett and J. D. Vessey, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 462, 221.
- 14 U. Klabunde, Inorg. Synth., 1974, 15, 82.
- 15 Transition Metal Hydrides, ed. E. L. Muetterties, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971.
- 16 M. A. Bennett and D. L. Milner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 6983.
- 17 D. W. Stephan, Inorg. Chem., 1984, 23, 2207.
- 18 C. V. Senoff, Can. J. Chem., 1970, 48, 2444.
- 19S. Hietkamp, D. J. Stufkens and K. Vrieze, J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, 152, 347.
- 20 H. D. Empsall, E. M. Hyde, R. Markham, W. S. McDonald, M. C. Norton, B. L. Shaw and B. Weeks, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1977, 589.
- 21 C. J. Moulton and B. L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1976, 1020.
- 22 E. F. Landvatter and T. B. Rauchfuss, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 506.
- 23 S. Park, M. P. Johnson and D. M. Roundhill, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 1700.
- 24 E. Farnetti, G. Nardin and M. Graziani, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 417, 163.
- 25 M. J. Auburn, R. D. Holmes-Smith and S. R. Stobart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 1314.
- 26 C. E. Johnson, B. J. Fisher and R. Eisenberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 7772.
- 27 J. R. Knorr and J. S. Merola, Organometallics, 1990, 9, 3008.
- 28 J. R. Gayler and C. V. Senoff, Can. J. Chem., 1972, 50, 1868.
- 29 S. D. Ittel and J. A. Ibers, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1976, 14, 33.
- 30 A. R. Rossi and R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem., 1975, 14, 365.
- 31 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP II, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1976.
- 32 S. D. Perera and B. L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 865.
- 33 W. Clegg, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1987, 37, 22.
- 34 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS 86, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1990, 46, 467.
- 35 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL 93, University of Göttingen, 1993.

Received 10th January 1996; Paper 6/00202A